



### Exercise sheet 3

**Exercise 1.** Let  $C([0, \infty))$  be equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacts using the metric  $d(f, g) := \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k} (\sup_{t \in [0, k]} |f(t) - g(t)| \wedge 1)$ . Prove

- $(C([0, \infty)), d)$  is Polish.
- The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that makes all coordinate projections  $\pi_t : C([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$ , measurable.
- For any continuous stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  the mapping  $\bar{X} : \Omega \rightarrow C([0, \infty))$  with  $\bar{X}(\omega)_t := X_t(\omega)$  is Borel-measurable.
- The law of  $\bar{X}$  is uniquely determined by the finite-dimensional distributions of  $X$ . (4 points)

**Exercise 2.** A Gaussian process  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is a process with (generalized) Gaussian finite-dimensional distributions: For any  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \sim \mathfrak{N}(\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}, \Sigma_{t_1, \dots, t_n})$ , where  $\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Sigma_{t_1, \dots, t_n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and positive semi-definite.

- Argue that the finite-dimensional distributions of a Gaussian process  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  are uniquely determined by the expectation function  $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}(X_t)$  and the covariance function  $(s, t) \mapsto \text{Cov}(X_s, X_t)$ .
- Show that for any function  $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and any symmetric, positive semi-definite function  $C : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $C(t, s) = C(s, t)$  and

$$\forall n \geq 1; t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{T}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{i, j=1}^n C(t_i, t_j) \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq 0,$$

there is a Gaussian process with expectation function  $\mu$  and covariance function  $C$ . (4 points)

**Exercise 3.** Let  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of r.v.'s on  $\Omega$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) with  $a < b$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega$  the integers  $\tau_0(\omega) = 1$ ,  $\sigma_{k+1}(\omega) = \inf\{t \geq \tau_k(\omega) : X_t(\omega) \leq a\}$  and  $\tau_{k+1}(\omega) = \inf\{t \geq \sigma_k(\omega) : X_t(\omega) \geq b\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  define the upcrossing number associated with  $(X_t(\omega))_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\beta_{a,b}(\omega) = \sup\{k \geq 1 : \tau_k(\omega) < \infty\}$  (see §3.1.6). Show the following claims:

- The numbers  $\beta_{a,b}(\omega)$  define a r.v. on  $\Omega$ .

- (b)  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges a.s. if and only if for any  $a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) the upcrossing numbers  $\beta_{a,b}$  are finite a.s. for any  $a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a filtration and  $\mathcal{F}_\infty := \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$ . Show that:

- (c) For any positive r.v.  $Z$  we have  $\mathbb{E}(Z | \mathcal{F}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(Z | \mathcal{F}_\infty)$  a.s. on the complement of the event  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\mathbb{E}(Z | \mathcal{F}_n) = \infty\}$ .
- (d) For any positive (super-)martingale  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and for any stopping time  $\tau$ , the stopped process  $X^\tau = (X_{\tau \wedge n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a positive (super-)martingale. (4 points)

**Exercise 4.** (a) Let  $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Poisson process of intensity  $\lambda > 0$  on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ , and let  $M_t := N_t - \lambda t$ . Show that  $(M_t^2 - N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(M_t^2 - \lambda t)_{t \geq 0}$  are both integrable martingales with respect to the filtration  $(\sigma(N_s, s \leq t))_{t \geq 0}$ .

(b) Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an integrable  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a measurable convex function. Show the following claims:

- (i) If  $\phi(X_t)$  is integrable for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , then  $(\phi(X_t))_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -submartingale.
- (ii) If  $t^* = \sup(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathbb{T}$ , and  $\phi(X_{t^*})$  is integrable, then  $(\phi(X_t))_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -submartingale.
- (iii) The above statements continue to hold if  $X$  is only an integrable submartingale, but in addition  $\phi$  is assumed to be monotone increasing. (4 points)